**WRIA 1 Salmon Recovery Board**

**Letter of Intent for**

**2016 Salmon Recovery Funding Board Grants**

The WRIA 1 Salmon Recovery Board invites prospective applicants of Nooksack early Chinook restoration projects to submit a Letter of Intent (LOI) by **April 15, 2016 5:00 pm** to the WRIA 1 Salmon Recovery Board c/o Becky Peterson, Coordinator, at [genevaconsulting@comcast.net](file:///C%3A%5CUsers%5Codie%5CDocuments%5Csalmon%5CLEC_FY%202010-2011%5CMeetings%5CAppData%5CLocal%5CMicrosoft%5CLocal%20Settings%5CTemporary%20Internet%20Files%5CAdministration%5Cgenevaconsulting%40comcast.net).

The letters of intent will serve three purposes: 1. Propose projects for 2016 SRFB grant funding; 2. Propose regionally significant large capital projects for 2017-2019 Puget Sound Acquisition and Restoration funding, and; 3. Propose capital projects for 2017-2019 Puget Sound Acquisition and Restoration funding. All of the projects considered for the 2016 grant round will be reviewed and ranked based on level of importance for Chinook, the sequencing and phasing of projects and/or project actions, and readiness to proceed. The geographic areas with the highest level of importance for Chinook recovery are the North Fork, Middle Fork, and South Fork Nooksack River. Habitat assessments and restoration planning for the Mainstem Nooksack River, as well as life cycle modeling to support refinement of chinook limiting factors, may also be considered. All projects considered for funding in 2016 must have clearly identified habitat objectives and clearly explain how the project will achieve the stated objectives. Local technical review and state technical review will focus on this element of the project.

The funding level for the 2016 SRFB grant cycle has not been identified but is anticipated at previous funding levels of approximately $715,000. Puget Sound Acquisition and Restoration (PSAR) grant funds are subject to the 2017 Washington State budget process. Projects proposed for funding during the 2016 grant cycle will inform the 2017 legislative session. All elements of the Letter of Intent are required. If a question is not applicable, use NA as the response. If there is uncertainty associated with your project responses, indicate that as part of your response by using the appropriate descriptor (e.g., anticipated, estimated, etc.).

**Project Location**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| PROJECT TITLE       | DATE SUBMITTED      |
| PROJECT REACHGeographic Area: Reach and River Mile:            |

**Applicant Information**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| PROJECT SPONSOR/ PROPONENT      | MAIN CONTACT PERSON      |
| ADDRESS      | CITY | STATE**WA** | ZIP CODE |
| OFFICE PHONE | CELL PHONE | EMAIL ADDRESS |

**Project Information**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ESTIMATED START DATE       | ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE       | ESTIMATED PROJECT BUDGET (Total Project Cost represents cost to completion for all phases. Phase Project Cost represents cost of project phase for which the 2014 application is being submitted.)Est. Total Project Cost (all phases-completed and future)     Est. Project Phase Cost (this grant request plus match)      Est. Current Grant Request       Est. Sponsor Match       |
| PROJECT TYPE (Corresponds to SRFB Manual 18 [http://www.rco.wa.gov/documents/Manuals&Forms/Manual\_18.pdf](http://www.rco.wa.gov/documents/Manuals%26Forms/Manual_18.pdf)) |  |
| SHORT PROJECT DESCRIPTION List Activities/Tasks:Habitat Targets Created       Limiting Factor(s) Addressed (Primary Limiting Factor Addressed):     Has any part of this project been previously reviewed or funded by SRFB? If yes, list project number and project name that was used.      If yes, do you anticipate the objectives, scope, and/or budge of this proposal changing? (explain the anticipated changes) What priority action(s) in the reach does this project address (refer to *2016 Project Development Matrices*)?      |
| PROJECT STAGING AND SEQUENCING (provide brief description of status for each applicable category and stage, and general timeline for completing each stage. If not applicable, mark n/a) Is the proposed project part of a sequenced reach restoration? If so, what phases have been completed and what phases remain?For the applicable project type below, identify the status of the proposed project:Feasibility 1. Landowner Contact: Status
2. Alternatives Analysis: Status
3. Conceptual Design: Status

Acquisition 1. Landowner Contact: Status
2. Appraisals: Status
3. Purchase and Sale Agreement: Status
4. Acquisition: Status

Design 1. Flood Risk Analysis: Status
2. Project Design: Status
3. Permitting: Status

Construction 1. LWD Procurement: Status
2. Construction: Status

Describe how your proposed project relates to other projects completed or planned within the reach:      |