**WRIA 1 Watershed Management Board**

**Letter of Intent for**

**2017 Salmon Recovery Funding Board Grants**

The WRIA 1 Watershed Management Board invites prospective sponsors of Nooksack early Chinook restoration projects to submit a Letter of Intent (LOI) by **March 31, 2017 5:00pm** to the WRIA 1 Salmon Recovery Board c/o Becky Peterson, Coordinator, at [genevaconsulting@comcast.net](file:///C:\Users\odie\Documents\salmon\LEC_FY%202010-2011\Meetings\AppData\Local\Microsoft\Local%20Settings\Temporary%20Internet%20Files\Administration\genevaconsulting@comcast.net).

**All projects considered for funding must have a completed Letter of Intent.** If the project you are proposing was submitted in 2016 and is identified as an alternate on the WRIA 1 2016 Ranked Project List, complete the relevant sections of this Letter of Intent.

All of the projects considered for the 2017 grant round will be reviewed and ranked based on level of importance for Chinook, the sequencing and phasing of projects and/or project actions, and readiness to proceed. The geographic areas with the highest level of importance for Chinook recovery are the North Fork, Middle Fork, and South Fork Nooksack River. Habitat assessments and restoration planning for the Mainstem Nooksack River, as well as life cycle modeling to support refinement of chinook limiting factors, may also be considered. All projects considered for funding in 2017 must have clearly identified habitat objectives and clearly explain how the project will achieve the stated objectives. Local technical review and state technical review will focus on this element of the project.

The funding level for the 2017 SRFB grant cycle has not been identified. The funding available for 2016 was $517,519. All elements of the Letter of Intent must be completed. If a question is not applicable, use NA as the response. If there is uncertainty associated with your project responses, indicate that as part of your response by using the appropriate descriptor (e.g., anticipated, estimated, etc.). **Project specificity and complete information in the form below are required in order for the Lead Entity to initiate the application process entries in the Habitat Work Schedule and PRISM.**

**Proposed Project**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| PROJECT TITLE | | DATE SUBMITTED |
| PROJECT REACH  Geographic Area:  Reach and River Mile: | | |
| 2016 PROJECT ALTERNATE  Is the proposed project a 2016 alternate on the WRIA 1 2016 Ranked Project List? (yes or no) | 2016 ALTERNATE PROJECT TITLE  (This helps the transition of information from the 2016 PRISM application to the 2017 PRISM number and the Habitat Work Schedule entry.) | |

**Applicant Information**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| PROJECT SPONSOR/ PROPONENT | | MAIN CONTACT PERSON | | |
| ADDRESS | | CITY | STATE  **WA** | ZIP CODE |
| OFFICE PHONE | CELL PHONE | EMAIL ADDRESS | | |

**Project Information**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ESTIMATED START DATE | ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE | ESTIMATED PROJECT BUDGET (Total Project Cost represents cost to completion for all phases (completed, underway, and proposed). .)  Est. Total Project Cost (all phases)  Est. Project Phase Cost (this grant request plus match)  Est. Current Grant Request  Est. Sponsor Match |
| PROJECT TYPE (Corresponds to SRFB Manual 18 <http://www.rco.wa.gov/documents/Manuals&Forms/Manual_18.pdf>) | | |
| SHORT PROJECT DESCRIPTION    List Activities/Tasks:    Habitat Indicators Created (refer to Habitat Indicators for 2017 SRFB Grant Cycle):    **Primary** Limiting Factor(s) Addressed ( **“High” in relevant Figures 4.5 or 4.6 of the *WRIA 1 Salmonid Recovery Plan****):*  Has any part of this project been previously reviewed or funded by SRFB?  If yes, list project number and project name that was used.  If the project was a 2016 alternate project on the WRIA 1 Ranked Project List, do you anticipate the objectives, scope, and/or budge of this proposal changing? (explain the anticipated changes)    If the project was **not** a 2016 alternate project and had been previously review or funded by the SRFB, explain why the project is being resubmitted for additional funds and/or what has changed since the project was previously reviewed and ranked but not funded.  What **primary** priority action(s) in the reach does this project address (refer to *2016 Project Development Matrices*)? | | |
| PROJECT STAGING AND SEQUENCING (provide brief description of status for each applicable category and stage, and general timeline for completing each stage. If not applicable, mark n/a)  Is the proposed project part of a sequenced reach restoration? If so, what phases have been completed and what phases remain?  **Identify the status** of the proposed project. Use N/A where the request for information is not applicable to your project type.  Feasibility – Describe the status of project feasibility.   1. Landowner Contact: 2. Alternatives Analysis: 3. Conceptual Design:   Acquisition – Describe the readiness to proceed for the targeted acquisition(s).   1. Landowner Contact: 2. Appraisals: 3. Purchase and Sale Agreement: 4. Acquisition:   Design - Describe status of design.   1. Flood Risk Analysis: Status 2. Project Design: Status 3. Permitting: Status   Construction – Describe readiness to proceed for construction.   1. LWD Procurement: Status 2. Construction: Status | | |
| DESCRIBE HOW YOUR PROPOSED PROJECT RELATES TO OTHER PROJECTS COMPLETED OR PLANNED WITHIN THE REACH.  (Please include **all** projects within the reach; do not limit the response to the projects completed or planned by your organization.) | | |